PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
REP06595WO		
International application No.	International filing date *(day/month/year)*	Priority date *(day/month/year)*
PCT/GB03/01375	28.03.2003	02.04.2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
C07K14/475		
Applicant		
ARK THERAPEUTICS LTD. et al.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.

   ☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

   These annexes consist of a total of sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

   - I ☒ Basis of the opinion
   - II ☐ Priority
   - III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
   - IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
   - V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
   - VI ☐ Certain documents cited
   - VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
   - VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report
16.09.2003	30.10.2003
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	Authorized Officer
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Grosskopf, R Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8714

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB03/01375

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application *(Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17))*:

Description, Pages

1-11 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-6 as originally filed

Drawings, Sheets

1/4-4/4 as originally filed

Sequence listing part of the description, pages:

1, as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language,** all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

   These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

   ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).

   ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).

   ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

   ☒ contained in the international application in written form.

   ☒ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

   ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.

   ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.

   ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.

   ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

   ☐ the description, pages:

   ☐ the claims, Nos.:

   ☐ the drawings, sheets:

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

   (Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes:	Claims	1-6
	No:	Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	1-6
	No:	Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-6
	No:	Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Ad item V:

The quoted documents are:

(1) SOKER S ET AL: "Inhibition of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF)-induced endothelial cell proliferation by a peptide corresponding to the exon7-encoded domain of VEGF165" JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY, AMERICAN SOCIETY OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTS, BALTIMORE, MD, US, vol. 272, no. 50, 12 December 1997 (1997-12-12), pages 31582-31588

(2) WO 93/08473

It is known from D1 that peptides which are derived from exon-7 of VEGF are inhibitory for the VEGF binding to HUVECs (human umbilical vein endothelial cells) which express the NP-1 receptor.
However, according to D1 the terminal cysteine residue which is absent in the sequence of Claim 1 is considered to be essential for said inhibitory activity. Therefore, it must be regarded as surprising that peptides which no longer have this cysteine still have NP-1 antagonist activity.
Also D2 discloses a peptide which is nearly identical to the sequence of Claim 1 (see e.g. Claim 8 of D2). However, said peptide has an additional Y at the N-terminus. Moreover, it is neither cyclic nor is an inhibitory activity disclosed.

Therefore, novelty and inventive activity can be acknowledged.